

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,777	BAEKKEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
C. SAYALA	1761	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) C. SAYALA. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr Davis. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
 Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1, 4 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Chase Sayala, Ph.D.
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner was authorized to make the change in claim 1as shown in the examiner's amendment, to put it in better form. Applicant was advised that claims 4 and 12 to a raw material product, not necessarily a feed product as claimed, were never presented before and were restrictable by original presentation.